

Template for suggesting changes to miniseed specification

Commenting on document version #	M=modification, N= add new section, D=Delete existing section	Modification
Topic	Topic	Information necessary for valid interpretation of data and system integrity
Type of Action (M=modification, N= add	Type of Action (M=modification, N= add	Modification
Current Wording from document	Applies to M or D	Remove all blockettes
New wording	Applies to M or N	<p>Suggestion:</p> <p>Retain the information now presented in Calibration Blockettes (e.g. 300...etc). This blockette was added at Quanterra's behest, because the SEED format definition does not adequately define representations for this information. The existing "blockette" form is not required, but the information is mandatory for valid interpretation of applied calibration signal sources.</p>
Rationale		There is currently an extensive array of calibration blockettes that define in a published and accessible manner the nature of calibration signals applied. This information is embedded in the channel data with which the calibration is associated. How do you propose to represent this information? Doing so in undefined or vendor-specific opaque structures will add to ambiguity.
Comments: Author, organization, and email		Edelvays Spassov, Kinometrics, ens@kmi.com
Date of Comment		5/18/16